

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,854	07/22/2003	Hirotaka Uefuji	KSM-0216	1437
23353	7590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	HMAN & GRAUER F	MEAH, MOHAMMAD Y		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,854	UEFUJI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad Meah	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

į

DETAILED ACTION

The claims 1-11 are pending in the instant office action.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 1-2, drawn to process of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine comprising enzymatic action with polypeptide, classified in classified in class 435, and subclass 119.
- Group II. Claims 3-10, drawn to process of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using a host cell containing DNA molecule of encoding the polypeptide having nucleotide sequence set out in SEQ ID NO: 2. or RNA molecules having corresponding RNA sequence (SEQ ID NO: 3), classified in classified in class 435, and subclass 119.
- Group III. Claims 3-10, drawn to process of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using a host cell containing DNA molecule of encoding the polypeptide having nucleotide sequence set out in SEQ ID NO: 5, RNA molecules having corresponding RNA sequence (SEQ ID NO: 6), classified in classified in class 435, and subclass 119.

Group IV. Claims 3-10, drawn to process of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using a host cell containing DNA molecule of encoding the polypeptide having nucleotide sequence set out in SEQ ID NO: 8, or RNA molecules having corresponding RNA sequence (SEQ ID NO: 9), classified in classified in class 435, and subclass 119.

Group V. Claim 11, drawn to process of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using a plant, classified in classified in class 435, and subclass 119.

Inventions group I and II-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case method of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using a polypeptide in group I is a different process that involve different steps and use different products than that of group II-IV which uses host cells with specific DNAs or RNAs.

Inventions in group II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each of group II-IV inventions involves process that uses

Application/Control Number: 10/623,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

different host cells containing DNA or RNAs having different SEQ ID Nos. Each DNA or RNA having unique SEQ ID NO has distinct structures, properties, function and utilities giving each process of group II-IV distinctiveness from each other.

Inventions of groups I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case method of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using a polypeptide in group I is a different process that involve different steps and use different products than that of group VIII which uses plants.

Inventions of group of II-IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case method of production of 7-methylxanthine, theobromine or caffeine using host cell containing DNA or RNA in groups II-IV is a different process that involves different steps and use different products than that of group IV that uses plant.

This application contains claims in Group I-V directed to more than one class of compounds as follows:

(A) 7-methylxanthine

Application/Control Number: 10/623,854 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

(B) theobromine

© Caffeine

Each compound listed (A)-(C) above is distinct class of compound having unique structure and properties.

Therefore is required to choose one class of compound from (A)-(C) from above to be prepared from corresponding substrate needed for the synthesis using corresponding enzymes, DNA.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

. . .

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between products claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Meah whose telephone number is 571-272-1261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Younus Meah, PhD
Examiner, Art Unit 1652
Recombinant Enzymes, 3C31 Remsen Bld
400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: 517-272-1261